www.bradford.gov.uk

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

The Council are seeking comments on the Proposed Main Modifications to the Core Strategy, following the Examination in Public in March 2015. The changes are proposed by the Council to address issues of legal compliance and soundness and we can only accept representations on these matters.

Comments on the Proposed Main Modifications Schedule are invited from Wednesday 25th November 2015 until Wednesday 20th January 2016.

REPRESENTATIONS MUST ONLY RELATE TO THE PROPOSED MAIN MODIFICATIONS.

You can access the Core Strategy documents online and additional copies of this form from our website: www.bradford.gov.uk/planningpolicy then 'Core Strategy Proposed Main Modifications', or you may request copies by:

Emailing us at: planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk

Phoning us on: (01274) 433679

Completed representation forms must be returned to Development Plans, by the deadline below, by either:

E-mail to: planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk

Post to: Core Strategy - Proposed Main Modifications

Development Plans Group

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

2nd Floor South - Jacobs Well

Nelson Street

Bradford BD1 5RW

ALL COMMENTS MUST BE MADE IN WRITING AND SHOULD BE RECEIVED
BY THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN GROUP AT EITHER OF THE ABOVE ADDRESSES
NO LATER THAN 4PM ON WEDNESDAY 20TH JANUARY 2016.

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

www.bradford.gov.uk

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

1. YOUR DETAILS*

For Office Use only:				
Date				
Ref				

2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent has been appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below and complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

Title	Mr				
First Name					
Last Name	Metcalfe				
Job Title (where relevant to this representation)	Chairman, Planning Cor Menston Parish Council				
Organisation (where relevant to this representation)	Menston Parish Council				
Address Line 1					
Line 2					
Line 3					
Line 4	llkley				
Post Code	LS29				
Telephone Number					
Email Address					
Signature:			Date:	18	/01/2016
3. Please let us know if you wish to be notified of the following:					
The publication of the Inspector's Report?		Yes	✓	No	
The adoption of the Core Strategy?		Yes	✓	No	
Are you attaching any additional sheets / documents that relate to this representation?		Yes	Yes	No	
		No of shee	ts / s submitted :	6	

www.bradford.gov.uk

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

For Office Use only:				
Date				
Ref				

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation. (Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

www.bradford.gov.uk

decided to withdraw their appeal. Another site at Derry Hill is awaiting Judicial Review by the Court of Appeal and a third site at Bingley Road in Menston is awaiting the outcome of a recent Judicial Review.

All the sites surrounding Menston identified in the SHLAA are susceptible to groundwater flooding. This has been demonstrated by regular floods, most recently on 26th and 27th December. This is backed up by photographic evidence and reports from experts in hydrology.

It is unsound to presume that there are enough sites suitable to deliver the number of houses proposed; as such Menston cannot be re-designated as a Local Growth Centre and cannot accommodate an increased housing allocation because it cannot be shown to have the capacity of sites to deliver the proposed number of homes, not even 300 let alone 600.

Bradford Council has previously illustrated in the Core Strategy Publication Draft how it would deliver the City's housing targets without changes to the greenbelt in and around Menston, as such further changes to the green belt in Menston does not constitute exceptional circumstances to release additional land from the Greenbelt to meet targets (ref NPPF and Planning Guidance).

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Deletion of the Main Modification MM7 such that Menston would remain as a **Local Service Centre**, and amendment accordingly of related modifications MM2, MM8, MM9, MM11, MM12, MM51, MM75, MM83, MM84, MM85, MM88 to reflect this deletion.

11.	Signature:	Date:	18/01/2016

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.

www.bradford.gov.uk

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:				
Date				
Ref				

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation. (Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?						
Proposed Main Modification nu	ımber:	1M83				
5. Do support or object the p	roposed main	modification?				
Support		Object	Object			
6. Do you consider the propo	sed main mod	lification to be 'legally compliant'?				
Yes		No	No			
7. Do you consider the propo	7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?					
Yes		No – 'unsound'	Unsound			
8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?						
Positively prepared	*	Justified	*			
Effective	*	Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)	*			
9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is <u>not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed</u> . Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.						

Proposed changes to the HRA do **not** make it sound to upgrade Menston and Burley to local growth centres and it remains unsound to increase the housing allocation. There are other reasons why it would be inappropriate to increase the allocation. These include a local predisposition to ground water flooding, overcapacity of the major trunk roads in particular the A65 into Leeds, and oversubscribed nearest secondary schools both in Bradford (Ilkley) and Leeds (Guiseley). There is little opportunity or demand for local employment.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting

your representation relates to the proposed main modifications).

information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that

Menston has a proven susceptibility to groundwater flooding. (Duncan Reed report previously submitted).

The 3 existing proposed sites in the former RUDP have as yet been **undeliverable** for exactly this reason. One site at Bingley Road has had **planning permission refused** because of

www.bradford.gov.uk

flooding issues. This was due to be appealed at a Public Enquiry but the developer has **decided to withdraw their appeal**. Another site at Derry Hill is awaiting Judicial Review by the Court of Appeal and a third site at Bingley Road n Menston is awaiting the outcome of a recent Judicial Review.

All the sites surrounding Menston identified in the SHLAA are susceptible to groundwater flooding. This has been demonstrated by regular floods, most recently on 26th and 27th December. This is backed up by photographic evidence and reports from experts in hydrology.

It is unsound to presume that there are enough sites suitable to deliver the number of houses proposed; as such Menston cannot be re-designated as a Local Growth Centre and cannot accommodate an increased housing allocation because it cannot be shown to have the capacity of sites to deliver the proposed number of homes, not even 300 let alone 600.

Bradford Council has previously illustrated in the Core Strategy Publication Draft how it would deliver the City's housing targets without changes to the greenbelt in and around Menston, as such further changes to the green belt in Menston does not constitute exceptional circumstances to release additional land from the Greenbelt to meet targets (ref NPPF and Planning Guidance).

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Deletion of the Main Modification MM7 and MM83 such that Menston would remain as a **Local Service Centre**, and amendment accordingly of related modifications MM2, MM8, MM9, MM11, MM12, MM51, MM75, MM83, MM84, MM85, MM88 to reflect this deletion.

11.	Signature:	Date:	18/01/2016

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.